	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/833,603	CHENG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christina Johnson	1725
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Christina Johnson</u> .	(3)	
(2) Howard Trofkin.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:	,	
32, 44, 57		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Down II		
Part II.		י חופטו ופפרדי
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
Car Lahnean		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/833,603

- Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 32, 44, and 57 were amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendments were proposed to overcome the rejections over the prior art of record. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon amendments can be found in the attached examiner's amendment.